

555 W. 5th Street Los Angeles, CA 90013

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 393032029100 9570 Tetsuo Nishimoto 12/06/2001 10/013,096 **EXAMINER** 12/21/2004 ABDELWAHED, ALI F David L. Fehrman Morrison & Foerster LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 35th Floor

3722

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/013,096	NISHIMOTO, TETSUO	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ali Abdelwahed	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 July 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8,9 and 11-15</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7 and 10</u> .			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).			
10. Other:	<i>S</i> UPER	A. C. WELLINGTON VISORY PATENT EXA HNOLOGY CENTER 3	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks with respect to the claims under final rejection have been considered but are not persuasive. The claims do not specifically recite the memory chip being located within the doll, and thus the computer 15 that has programs stored in memory as taught by the primary reference Kikinis satisfies this limitation. Kikinis also discloses a program being selected from the memory based upon the type of external stimulus detected by the sensors, which is also known as an empirical value, in column 3, lines 32-39. Secondary reference Gabai et al. does teach the toy having a memory that can store a program from an outside source to perform a predetermined operation, in column 8, lines 54-56. The secondary reference Gabai et al. is not required to teach selecting a program from the toy's memory in response to stimulus detected by sensor, since primary reference Kikinis already teaches this limitation in column 3, lines 32-39. Finally, secondary reference Hampton et al. was merely used to teach the concept of having the memory chip and processor being located within the toy doll. Additionally, it should be noted to Applicant that the statutory period for reply has not changed due to the re-submission of this corrected Advisory Action, and that the statutory period for reply expires no later than 6 months from the mailing date of the Final Rejection.